

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/804,820	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CARTER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Phillip H. Nguyen	<b>Art Unit</b> 2191	

**All Participants:**

(1) Phillip H. Nguyen.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Dennis de Guzman (Reg. No 41,702).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 May 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

102

Claims discussed:

1,14,21,22, and 27

Prior art documents discussed:

USPA: 2005/0102660

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed the allowable subject matter of independent claims 14 and 22 to include the missing limitations found in other independent claims 1, 21, or 27. Examiner's amendment is necessitated to clarify the claimed invention.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)